

# Quaternionic linear operators, S-spectrum and Grothendieck-Lidskii formula

Irene Sabadini, joint work with P. Cerejeiras, F. Colombo, A. Debernardi Pinos, U. Kähler

CalTech Probabilistic Operator Algebras Seminar, November 4, 2024

# Quaternions I

Let  $\mathbb{H}$  denote the algebra of quaternions. An element  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  is of the form

$$q = q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3ij \quad q_\ell \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $ij = -ji = k$ ,  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ . They generalize the complex numbers.

- **Sum:** Similar as in the complex case (4-dim. real vector space).
- **Product:** Use the associative law.
- **Product is non-commutative:** In general,  $pq \neq qp$ , for  $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ .
- **Conjugation:**  $\bar{q} = q_0 - q_1i - q_2j - q_3k$ .
- **Existence of inverses:** For every  $q \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ , there exists a unique  $q^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}$  with  $q^{-1}q = qq^{-1} = 1$ .
- **Modulus:**  $|q| = \sqrt{q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2}$ .

# Quaternions II

Similarly as for complex numbers, we can distinguish between the real and imaginary (vectorial) parts of a quaternion. For

$$q = q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k \in \mathbb{H},$$

we define

$$\operatorname{Re} q = q_0, \quad \operatorname{Im} q = q_1i + q_2j + q_3k.$$

## Remark

Quaternion multiplication may be used to represent rotations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . More precisely, if  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $s^{-1}qs$  has a rotated imaginary part with respect to  $q$ .

# Quaternions II

Similarly as for complex numbers, we can distinguish between the real and imaginary (vectorial) parts of a quaternion. For

$$q = q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k \in \mathbb{H},$$

we define

$$\operatorname{Re} q = q_0, \quad \operatorname{Im} q = q_1i + q_2j + q_3k.$$

## Remark

Quaternion multiplication may be used to represent rotations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . More precisely, if  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $s^{-1}qs$  has a rotated imaginary part with respect to  $q$ .

Note that if  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + i\lambda_1 + j\lambda_2 + k\lambda_3 = \lambda_0 + \text{Im}(\lambda) \notin \mathbb{R}$ , we can set

$$I = \text{Im}(\lambda)/|\text{Im}(\lambda)|, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\nu = |\text{Im}(\lambda)|$$

so that  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + I\lambda_\nu$ .

Set  $\mathbb{S} = \{I = \text{Im}(q) : |\text{Im}(q)| = 1\}$ , then  $I \in \mathbb{S}$  if and only if  $I^2 = -1$ .

Then  $[\lambda] = \{\lambda_0 + J\lambda_\nu, J \in \mathbb{S}\} = \{\lambda' = s^{-1}\lambda s, s \neq 0\}$ .

Note that if  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + i\lambda_1 + j\lambda_2 + k\lambda_3 = \lambda_0 + \text{Im}(\lambda) \notin \mathbb{R}$ , we can set

$$I = \text{Im}(\lambda)/|\text{Im}(\lambda)|, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_v = |\text{Im}(\lambda)|$$

so that  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + I\lambda_v$ .

Set  $\mathbb{S} = \{I = \text{Im}(q) : |\text{Im}(q)| = 1\}$ , then  $I \in \mathbb{S}$  if and only if  $I^2 = -1$ .

Then  $[\lambda] = \{\lambda_0 + J\lambda_v, J \in \mathbb{S}\} = \{\lambda' = s^{-1}\lambda s, s \neq 0\}$ .

Note that if  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + i\lambda_1 + j\lambda_2 + k\lambda_3 = \lambda_0 + \text{Im}(\lambda) \notin \mathbb{R}$ , we can set

$$I = \text{Im}(\lambda)/|\text{Im}(\lambda)|, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_v = |\text{Im}(\lambda)|$$

so that  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + I\lambda_v$ .

Set  $\mathbb{S} = \{I = \text{Im}(q) : |\text{Im}(q)| = 1\}$ , then  $I \in \mathbb{S}$  if and only if  $I^2 = -1$ .

Then  $[\lambda] = \{\lambda_0 + J\lambda_v, J \in \mathbb{S}\} = \{\lambda' = s^{-1}\lambda s, s \neq 0\}$ .

# Quaternionic matrices (linear maps)

We now consider finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{H}$  (denoted  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ), and (right-) linear maps between these.

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  and a (right) eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  of  $A$ , with eigenvector  $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , i.e.,

$$Ax = x\lambda.$$

For any nonzero quaternion  $s$ ,  $\lambda' = s^{-1}\lambda s$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$

$$A(xs) = (Ax)s = x\lambda s = (xs)(s^{-1}\lambda s) = (xs)\lambda'.$$



# Quaternionic matrices (linear maps)

We now consider finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{H}$  (denoted  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ), and (right-) linear maps between these.

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  and a (right) eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  of  $A$ , with eigenvector  $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , i.e.,

$$Ax = x\lambda.$$

For any nonzero quaternion  $s$ ,  $\lambda' = s^{-1}\lambda s$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$

$$A(xs) = (Ax)s = x\lambda s = (xs)(s^{-1}\lambda s) = (xs)\lambda'.$$

# Quaternionic matrices (linear maps)

We now consider finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{H}$  (denoted  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ), and (right-) linear maps between these.

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  and a (right) eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  of  $A$ , with eigenvector  $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , i.e.,

$$Ax = x\lambda.$$

For any nonzero quaternion  $s$ ,  $\lambda' = s^{-1}\lambda s$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$

$$A(xs) = (Ax)s = x\lambda s = (xs)(s^{-1}\lambda s) = (xs)\lambda'.$$

# Quaternionic matrices (linear maps)

## Remark

Although being different,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  satisfy the relations  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = \operatorname{Re} \lambda'$  and  $|\lambda| = |\lambda'|$ . This allows to define equivalence classes  $[\lambda]$  of eigenvalues.

## Note

The operator  $A - I\lambda$  is not right linear:  $A(xs) - (xs)\lambda \neq (Ax - x\lambda)s$

$$A(xs) = (Ax)s = x\lambda s = (xs)(s^{-1}\lambda s) = (xs)\lambda'.$$

Eigenspaces are ill-defined.

# Quaternionic matrices (linear maps)

## Remark

Although being different,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  satisfy the relations  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = \operatorname{Re} \lambda'$  and  $|\lambda| = |\lambda'|$ . This allows to define equivalence classes  $[\lambda]$  of eigenvalues.

## Note

The operator  $A - I\lambda$  is not right linear:  $A(xs) - (xs)\lambda \neq (Ax - x\lambda)s$

$$A(xs) = (Ax)s = x\lambda s = (xs)(s^{-1}\lambda s) = (xs)\lambda'.$$

Eigenspaces are ill-defined.

# Problems with quaternionic matrices

- How can we define the trace of  $A$  and expect it to be equal to the sum of all eigenvalues of  $A$ ? (in general, there are uncountably many!)
- What about its determinant and relate it to the eigenvalues?
- Can we construct a characteristic polynomial?
- How to deal with the problem of eigenspaces which seem to be ill-defined?

# Problems with quaternionic matrices

- How can we define the trace of  $A$  and expect it to be equal to the sum of all eigenvalues of  $A$ ? (in general, there are uncountably many!)
- What about its determinant and relate it to the eigenvalues?
- Can we construct a characteristic polynomial?
- How to deal with the problem of eigenspaces which seem to be ill-defined?

# Problems with quaternionic matrices

- How can we define the trace of  $A$  and expect it to be equal to the sum of all eigenvalues of  $A$ ? (in general, there are uncountably many!)
- What about its determinant and relate it to the eigenvalues?
- Can we construct a characteristic polynomial?
- How to deal with the problem of eigenspaces which seem to be ill-defined?

# Problems with quaternionic matrices

- How can we define the trace of  $A$  and expect it to be equal to the sum of all eigenvalues of  $A$ ? (in general, there are uncountably many!)
- What about its determinant and relate it to the eigenvalues?
- Can we construct a characteristic polynomial?
- How to deal with the problem of eigenspaces which seem to be ill-defined?



Let us set  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}(i)$ . Any quaternion  $q$  may be written as  $q = z_1 + z_2j$ , where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ , write  $A = A_1 + A_2j$ , with  $A_1, A_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The companion matrix of  $A$  is defined as the matrix

$$\chi_A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -A_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix captures the noncommutative nature of  $A$  (Lee, '49). It also "solves" some of the problems of working directly with quaternion matrices.

### Theorem

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if both  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

Let us set  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}(i)$ . Any quaternion  $q$  may be written as  $q = z_1 + z_2j$ , where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ , write  $A = A_1 + A_2j$ , with  $A_1, A_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The companion matrix of  $A$  is defined as the matrix

$$\chi_A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -A_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix captures the noncommutative nature of  $A$  (Lee, '49). It also “solves” some of the problems of working directly with quaternion matrices.

### Theorem

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if both  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

Let us set  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}(i)$ . Any quaternion  $q$  may be written as  $q = z_1 + z_2j$ , where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ , write  $A = A_1 + A_2j$ , with  $A_1, A_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The companion matrix of  $A$  is defined as the matrix

$$\chi_A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -A_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix captures the noncommutative nature of  $A$  (Lee, '49). It also “solves” some of the problems of working directly with quaternion matrices.

### Theorem

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if both  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

# Companion matrix and eigenvalues

To each eigenvalue class  $[\lambda]$  of  $A$  correspond two eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

## Definition

For a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ , we define the *standard eigenvalues* of  $A$  as the purely complex eigenvalues of  $A$  with positive imaginary part.

By the previous theorem,  $\lambda$  is a standard eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

## Remark

We can associate invariant quantities to  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  based on these of  $\chi_A$ .

# Companion matrix and eigenvalues

To each eigenvalue class  $[\lambda]$  of  $A$  correspond two eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

## Definition

For a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ , we define the *standard eigenvalues* of  $A$  as the purely complex eigenvalues of  $A$  with positive imaginary part.

By the previous theorem,  $\lambda$  is a standard eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

## Remark

We can associate invariant quantities to  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  based on these of  $\chi_A$ .

# Companion matrix and eigenvalues

To each eigenvalue class  $[\lambda]$  of  $A$  correspond two eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

## Definition

For a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ , we define the *standard eigenvalues* of  $A$  as the purely complex eigenvalues of  $A$  with positive imaginary part.

By the previous theorem,  $\lambda$  is a standard eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are eigenvalues of  $\chi_A$ .

## Remark

We can associate invariant quantities to  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  based on these of  $\chi_A$ .

# Invariants of quaternionic matrices

Let us define invariants associated to  $A = (a_{k\ell})_{k,\ell=1}^n \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  in terms of

$$\chi_A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -\overline{A_2} & \overline{A_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Trace:  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) := \text{Tr } \chi_A = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kk} \right)$ . If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the standard eigenvalues of  $A$ , then

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda_k + \overline{\lambda_k}).$$

- Determinant:

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(A) := \det \chi_A = \prod_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 = \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \overline{\lambda_k}.$$

# Invariants of quaternionic matrices

Let us define invariants associated to  $A = (a_{k\ell})_{k,\ell=1}^n \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  in terms of

$$\chi_A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -\overline{A_2} & \overline{A_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Trace:  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) := \text{Tr } \chi_A = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kk} \right)$ . If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the standard eigenvalues of  $A$ , then

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda_k + \overline{\lambda_k}).$$

- Determinant:

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(A) := \det \chi_A = \prod_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 = \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \overline{\lambda_k}.$$



# Invariants of quaternionic matrices

Let us define invariants associated to  $A = (a_{k\ell})_{k,\ell=1}^n \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  in terms of

$$\chi_A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -\overline{A_2} & \overline{A_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Trace:  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) := \text{Tr } \chi_A = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kk} \right)$ . If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the standard eigenvalues of  $A$ , then

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda_k + \overline{\lambda_k}).$$

- Determinant:

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(A) := \det \chi_A = \prod_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 = \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \overline{\lambda_k}.$$

# The (quaternionic) characteristic polynomial

We can also define the “characteristic polynomial” of  $A$  as

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA) := \det(I - z\chi_A) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - z\lambda_k)(1 - z\overline{\lambda_k}).$$

It is known that

$$\det(I - z\chi_A) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k z^k \operatorname{Tr}(\wedge^k \chi_A),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\wedge^k \chi_A) = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} \operatorname{Tr} \chi_A & k-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \operatorname{Tr} \chi_{A^2} & \operatorname{Tr} \chi_A & k-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Tr} \chi_{A^{k-1}} & \operatorname{Tr} \chi_{A^{k-2}} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr} \chi_A & 1 \\ \operatorname{Tr} \chi_{A^k} & \operatorname{Tr} \chi_{A^{k-1}} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr} \chi_{A^2} & \operatorname{Tr} \chi_A \end{vmatrix}.$$

# The (quaternionic) characteristic polynomial

We can also define the “characteristic polynomial” of  $A$  as

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA) := \det(I - z\chi_A) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - z\lambda_k)(1 - z\overline{\lambda_k}).$$

It is known that

$$\det(I - z\chi_A) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k z^k \operatorname{Tr}(\wedge^k \chi_A),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\wedge^k \chi_A) = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & k-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & k-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-1}) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-2}) & \cdots & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & 1 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^k) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-1}) & \cdots & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) \end{vmatrix}.$$

# The (quaternionic) characteristic polynomial

We can also define the “characteristic polynomial” of  $A$  as

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA) := \det(I - z\chi_A) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - z\lambda_k)(1 - z\overline{\lambda_k}).$$

It is known that

$$\det(I - z\chi_A) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k z^k \operatorname{Tr}(\wedge^k \chi_A),$$

where

$$T_{\mathbb{H},k}(A) := \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & k-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & k-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-1}) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-2}) & \cdots & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & 1 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^k) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-1}) & \cdots & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) \end{vmatrix}.$$

# The (quaternionic) characteristic polynomial

We can also define the “characteristic polynomial” of  $A$  as

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA) := \det(I - z\chi_A) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - z\lambda_k)(1 - z\overline{\lambda_k}).$$

It is known that

$$\det(I - z\chi_A) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k z^k T_{\mathbb{H},k}(A),$$

where

$$T_{\mathbb{H},k}(A) := \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & k-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & k-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-1}) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-2}) & \cdots & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & 1 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^k) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^{k-1}) & \cdots & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) \end{vmatrix}.$$

## A remark on the second-order trace

The previously defined first-order trace satisfies

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right),$$

but still misses some information regarding the eigenvalues of  $A$ .  
Such an information is found in the second-order trace

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbb{H},2}(A) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & 1 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k) \left( \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_\ell) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## A remark on the second-order trace

The previously defined first-order trace satisfies

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right),$$

but still misses some information regarding the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Such an information is found in the second-order trace

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbb{H},2}(A) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) & 1 \\ T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A^2) & T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k) \left( \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_\ell) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## A remark on the second-order trace

### Proposition

Let  $A = (a_{\ell m})_{\ell, m=1}^n \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ . Then

$$T_{\mathbb{H},2}(A) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n |a_{\ell\ell}|^2 + 4 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=\ell+1}^n \operatorname{Re}(a_{\ell\ell}) \operatorname{Re}(a_{mm}) - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=\ell+1}^n \operatorname{Re}(a_{m\ell} a_{\ell m}).$$

The quaternionic Fredholm determinant  $\tilde{P}_{\chi_A}(z) = \det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA)$  satisfies the identity

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k)z + |\lambda_k|^2 z^2).$$



## A remark on the second-order trace

### Proposition

Let  $A = (a_{\ell m})_{\ell, m=1}^n \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ . Then

$$T_{\mathbb{H},2}(A) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n |a_{\ell\ell}|^2 + 4 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=\ell+1}^n \operatorname{Re}(a_{\ell\ell}) \operatorname{Re}(a_{mm}) - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=\ell+1}^n \operatorname{Re}(a_{m\ell} a_{\ell m}).$$

The quaternionic Fredholm determinant  $\tilde{P}_{\chi_A}(z) = \det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA)$  satisfies the identity

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k)z + |\lambda_k|^2 z^2).$$

## Example

Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 + i & 0 \\ 0 & ij \end{pmatrix}.$$

The standard eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $3 + i$  and  $i$ , the characteristic polynomial is

$$\tilde{P}_{\chi_A}(z) = \det(I - z\chi_A) = 1 - 6z + 11z^2 - 6z^3 + 10z^4.$$

Observe that the linear term has coefficient  $-2 \operatorname{Re}(3 + i + ij) = -6$  and  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) = 6$ , whilst for the quadratic term the coefficient is

$$T_{\mathbb{H},2}(A) = |3 + i|^2 + |ij|^2 + 4 \operatorname{Re}(3 + i) \operatorname{Re}(ij) - 2 \operatorname{Re}((3 + i)(ij)) = 11.$$

- The fact that eigenvalues are equivalence classes of elements, explains the need of more information to be encoded in the notion of trace.
- For matrices one may refer to the companion matrix but what to do in the case of linear operators?
- For quaternionic linear operators the appropriate notion of spectrum is the S-spectrum (F. Colombo and I.S., 2006).

- The fact that eigenvalues are equivalence classes of elements, explains the need of more information to be encoded in the notion of trace.
- For matrices one may refer to the companion matrix but what to do in the case of linear operators?
- For quaternionic linear operators the appropriate notion of spectrum is the S-spectrum (F. Colombo and I.S., 2006).

- The fact that eigenvalues are equivalence classes of elements, explains the need of more information to be encoded in the notion of trace.
- For matrices one may refer to the companion matrix but what to do in the case of linear operators?
- For quaternionic linear operators the appropriate notion of spectrum is the S-spectrum (F. Colombo and I.S., 2006).

# Definition of the $S$ -spectrum

Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ . We define the  $S$ -spectrum  $\sigma_S(A)$  of  $A$  as:

$$\sigma_S(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{H} : A^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2\mathcal{I} \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{B}(V)\}.$$

and the  $S$ -resolvent set

$$\rho_S(A) = \mathbb{H} \setminus \sigma_S(A).$$

# Properties of the $S$ -spectrum

- The  $S$ -spectrum is axially symmetric: if  $\lambda_0 = x_0 + jy_0 \in \sigma_S(A)$ , then  $x_0 + ly_0 \in \sigma_S(A)$ , for all  $l \in \mathbb{S}$ , i.e.  $[\lambda_0] \in \sigma_S(A)$
- The **point  $S$ -spectrum** of  $A$  defined as

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{H} : \ker(A^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2\mathcal{I}) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

coincides with the set of **right eigenvalues**.

- Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ . Then the  $S$ -spectrum  $\sigma_S(A)$  is a compact nonempty set.

# Properties of the $S$ -spectrum

- The  $S$ -spectrum is axially symmetric: if  $\lambda_0 = x_0 + jy_0 \in \sigma_S(A)$ , then  $x_0 + ly_0 \in \sigma_S(A)$ , for all  $l \in \mathbb{S}$ , i.e.  $[\lambda_0] \in \sigma_S(A)$
- The **point  $S$ -spectrum** of  $A$  defined as

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{H} : \ker(A^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2\mathcal{I}) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

coincides with the set of **right eigenvalues**.

- Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ . Then the  $S$ -spectrum  $\sigma_S(A)$  is a compact nonempty set.



# Properties of the $S$ -spectrum

- The  $S$ -spectrum is axially symmetric: if  $\lambda_0 = x_0 + jy_0 \in \sigma_S(A)$ , then  $x_0 + ly_0 \in \sigma_S(A)$ , for all  $l \in \mathbb{S}$ , i.e.  $[\lambda_0] \in \sigma_S(A)$
- The **point  $S$ -spectrum** of  $A$  defined as

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{H} : \ker(A^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2\mathcal{I}) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

coincides with the set of **right eigenvalues**.

- Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ . Then the  $S$ -spectrum  $\sigma_S(A)$  is a compact nonempty set.

The second order operator

$$Q_\lambda(A) := (A^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2 I)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \rho_S(A),$$

is called the **pseudo S-resolvent operator**.

It is a quaternionic right linear operator and it is the linear operator associated with the eigenvalue problem  $Av = v\lambda$  (which is **not linear**:  $A - I\lambda$  is not a quaternionic right linear operator), i.e.  $v \neq 0$  is such that

$$(A^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2 I)v = 0$$

and it is eigenvector related with  $[\lambda]$

The second order operator

$$Q_\lambda(A) := (A^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2 I)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \rho_S(A),$$

is called the **pseudo S-resolvent operator**.

It is a quaternionic right linear operator and it is the linear operator associated with the eigenvalue problem  $Av = v\lambda$  (which is **not linear**:  $A - I\lambda$  is not a quaternionic right linear operator), i.e.  $v \neq 0$  is such that

$$(A^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)A + |\lambda|^2 I)v = 0$$

and it is eigenvector related with  $[\lambda]$

## Towards the infinite-dimensional (Hilbert) case

We can express a linear map  $A$  in a finite-dimensional space with respect to an arbitrary orthonormal basis  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^n$  as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_1, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x'_n \rangle \\ \langle x_2, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_2, x'_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_n, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x'_n \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $x'_k = A^* x_k$  (here  $A^* = (\bar{A})^T$  is the adjoint matrix of  $A$ ).

We aim to study operators in Hilbert spaces represented by (infinite) matrices of a similar form.

# Towards the infinite-dimensional (Hilbert) case

We can express a linear map  $A$  in a finite-dimensional space with respect to an arbitrary orthonormal basis  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^n$  as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_1, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x'_n \rangle \\ \langle x_2, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_2, x'_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_n, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x'_n \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $x'_k = A^* x_k$  (here  $A^* = (\bar{A})^T$  is the adjoint matrix of  $A$ ).

We aim to study operators in Hilbert spaces represented by (infinite) matrices of a similar form.

## Towards the infinite-dimensional (Hilbert) case

For finite rank  $T$  operators we proved:  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, x'_k \rangle \right)$   
for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T^k) = 2 \sum_{m_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{m_k=1}^n \operatorname{Re}(\langle x'_{m_2}, x_{m_1} \rangle \langle x'_{m_3}, x_{m_2} \rangle \langle x'_{m_4}, x_{m_3} \rangle \cdots \\ \langle x'_{m_k}, x_{m_{k-1}} \rangle \langle x'_{m_1}, x_{m_k} \rangle).$$

In particular, for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T^k)$  does not depend on the choice of the vectors  $x_m, x'_m$  (since they can be written in terms of  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T^k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ ).

## Towards the infinite-dimensional (Hilbert) case

For finite rank  $T$  operators we proved:  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, x'_k \rangle \right)$   
for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T^k) = 2 \sum_{m_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{m_k=1}^n \operatorname{Re}(\langle x'_{m_2}, x_{m_1} \rangle \langle x'_{m_3}, x_{m_2} \rangle \langle x'_{m_4}, x_{m_3} \rangle \cdots \\ \langle x'_{m_k}, x_{m_{k-1}} \rangle \langle x'_{m_1}, x_{m_k} \rangle).$$

In particular, for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T^k)$  does not depend on the choice of the vectors  $x_m, x'_m$  (since they can be written in terms of  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T^k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ ).

## Towards the infinite-dimensional (Hilbert) case

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right),$$

$$T_{\mathbb{H},2}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=k+1}^n \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k) \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_m)$$

and

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zT) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k T_{\mathbb{H},k}(T) z^k = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k) z + |\lambda_k|^2 z^2).$$



# Trace-class operators

In a Hilbert space  $H$ , a linear operator  $T : H \rightarrow H$  of the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_1, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \langle x_2, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_2, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \langle x_n, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_n, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

is of trace-class if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x_k, x'_k \rangle| < \infty$  (here  $\{x_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H$ ). This allows to define its trace. In the classical (complex) case,

$$\text{Tr } T := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x_k, x'_k \rangle.$$

# Trace-class operators

In a Hilbert space  $H$ , a linear operator  $T : H \rightarrow H$  of the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_1, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \langle x_2, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_2, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \langle x_n, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_n, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

is of trace-class if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x_k, x'_k \rangle| < \infty$  (here  $\{x_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H$ ). This allows to define its trace. In the classical (complex) case,

$$\text{Tr } T := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x_k, x'_k \rangle.$$

# Grothendieck-Lidskii formula (classical case)

The Fredholm determinant of  $T$  is defined as

$$\det(I - zT) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^k \operatorname{Tr}(\wedge^k T).$$

Recall:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\wedge^k T) = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} \operatorname{Tr} T & k-1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \operatorname{Tr} T^2 & \operatorname{Tr} T & k-2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Tr} T^{k-1} & \operatorname{Tr} T^{k-2} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr} T & 1 \\ \operatorname{Tr} T^k & \operatorname{Tr} T^{k-1} & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr} T^2 & \operatorname{Tr} T \end{vmatrix}.$$

## Question

Can we relate the trace and Fredholm determinant of  $T$  with its eigenvalues?

## Theorem (Grothendieck-Lidskii formula)

Let  $T$  be a trace-class operator (on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ ), and let  $\{\lambda_k\}$  be the sequence of eigenvalues of  $T$ . Then, the Fredholm determinant  $\det(I - zT)$  is an entire function of order 1 and genus 0, and, moreover,

$$\operatorname{Tr} T = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k,$$
$$\det(I - zT) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z\lambda_k).$$

# Invariants of operators in quaternionic Hilbert spaces

Let  $T$  be a linear operator acting on a quaternionic Hilbert space  $H$ .

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_1, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \langle x_2, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_2, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \langle x_n, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_n, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a quaternionic matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ , we have

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(A) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, x'_k \rangle \right),$$
$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zA) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k z^k T_{\mathbb{H},k}(A).$$

The definitions do not depend on the choice of the basis  $\{x_k\}$

# Invariants of operators in quaternionic Hilbert spaces

Let  $T$  be a linear operator acting on a quaternionic Hilbert space  $H$ .

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_1, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \langle x_2, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_2, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \langle x_n, x'_1 \rangle & \langle x_n, x'_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x'_n \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the operator  $T$ , we define

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x_k, x'_k \rangle \right),$$
$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zT) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^k T_{\mathbb{H},k}(A).$$

The definitions do not depend on the choice of the basis  $\{x_k\}$

## Theorem (Cerejeiras, Colombo, Debernardi Pinos, Kähler, I. S.)

Let  $T$  be a trace-class operator on a quaternionic Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $\{\lambda_k\}$  be the sequence of **standard** eigenvalues of  $T$ . Then, the quaternionic Fredholm determinant  $\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zT)$  is an entire function of order 1 and genus 0, and, moreover,

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \right)$$

$$T_{\mathbb{H},2}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k) \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_m)$$

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zT) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z\lambda_k)(1 - z\bar{\lambda}_k) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k)z + |\lambda_k|^2 z^2).$$

# Nuclear operators in quaternionic Banach spaces

Let  $X$  be a quaternionic Banach space and  $0 < r \leq 1$ . A linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $r$ -nuclear if it admits a representation

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \cdot x'_k(x), \quad x_k \in X, \quad x'_k \in X',$$

and

$$\|T\|_r := \inf \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_X^r \|x'_k\|_{X'}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty.$$

If  $r = 1$  we say that  $T$  is nuclear.

## Remark

If  $X$  is a Hilbert space, then nuclear operators are precisely trace-class operators.



# Nuclear operators in quaternionic Banach spaces

Let  $X$  be a quaternionic Banach space and  $0 < r \leq 1$ . A linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $r$ -nuclear if it admits a representation

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \cdot x'_k(x), \quad x_k \in X, \quad x'_k \in X',$$

and

$$\|T\|_r := \inf \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_X^r \|x'_k\|_{X'}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty.$$

If  $r = 1$  we say that  $T$  is nuclear.

## Remark

If  $X$  is a Hilbert space, then nuclear operators are precisely trace-class operators.

# Classical case: nuclear operators and well-definiteness of the trace

## Question

For nuclear operators, is it true that the quantity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle$$

is well defined? (independently of the “basis”  $\{x_k\}$ ,  $\{x'_k\}$ ).

Answer: it depends.

- True if  $X$  possesses the approximation property.
- False in general.

Grothendieck showed that the answer is always positive for 2/3-nuclear operators

# Classical case: nuclear operators and well-definiteness of the trace

## Question

For nuclear operators, is it true that the quantity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle$$

is well defined? (independently of the “basis”  $\{x_k\}$ ,  $\{x'_k\}$ ).

Answer: it depends.

- True if  $X$  possesses the approximation property.
- False in general.

Grothendieck showed that the answer is always positive for 2/3-nuclear operators

# Classical case: nuclear operators and well-definiteness of the trace

## Question

For nuclear operators, is it true that the quantity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle$$

is well defined? (independently of the “basis”  $\{x_k\}$ ,  $\{x'_k\}$ ).

Answer: it depends.

- True if  $X$  possesses the approximation property.
- False in general.

Grothendieck showed that the answer is always positive for 2/3-nuclear operators

# Classical case: nuclear operators and well-definiteness of the trace

## Question

For nuclear operators, is it true that the quantity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle$$

is well defined? (independently of the “basis”  $\{x_k\}$ ,  $\{x'_k\}$ ).

Answer: it depends.

- True if  $X$  possesses the approximation property.
- False in general.

Grothendieck showed that the answer is always positive for 2/3-nuclear operators

## Theorem (Quaternionic Grothendieck-Lidskii formula, 2024)

Let  $X$  be a quaternionic Banach space having the approximation property,  $T \in L(X)$  be a  $\frac{2}{3}$ -nuclear operator, and let  $\{\lambda_k(T)\}$  be the sequence of standard eigenvalues of  $T$ . Then:

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(T) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(T) \right),$$

$$T_{\mathbb{H},2}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k(T)|^2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k(T)) \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_m(T)),$$

and

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I + T) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_k(T)) + |\lambda_k(T)|^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{\mathbb{H},k}(T).$$

Note: Independence of  $x_n, x'_n$ .

# Trace and tensor products

More generally, Grothendieck considered the general context of locally convex spaces  $E$ , and their tensor products, with operators represented as

$$T \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k (x_k \otimes x'_k), \quad \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}, x_k \in E, x'_k \in E'.$$

Depending on the topological properties of  $E$ , and assumptions on  $T$ , the trace may be properly defined.

# Trace and tensor products

More generally, Grothendieck considered the general context of locally convex spaces  $E$ , and their tensor products, with operators represented as

$$T \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k (x_k \otimes x'_k), \quad \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}, x_k \in E, x'_k \in E'.$$

Depending on the topological properties of  $E$ , and assumptions on  $T$ , the trace may be properly defined.



## A few words on the trace functional

For a (real) vector space  $E$  and its dual  $E'$ , the tensor product  $E \otimes E'$  is defined as the free Abelian group with generators  $x \otimes x'$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $x' \in E'$ , modulo the relations

- 1  $(x + y) \otimes x' = x \otimes x' + y \otimes x'$ ,
- 2  $x \otimes (x' + y') = x \otimes x' + x \otimes y'$ ,
- 3  $a(x \otimes x') = (ax) \otimes x' = x \otimes (ax') = (x \otimes x')a$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Linear operators may be described in terms of tensor products as follows: to any tensor  $x \otimes x'$ , we associate the (rank 1) operator

$$y \mapsto x \langle x', y \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the canonical bilinear pairing on  $E \times E'$ .

## A few words on the trace functional

For a (real) vector space  $E$  and its dual  $E'$ , the tensor product  $E \otimes E'$  is defined as the free Abelian group with generators  $x \otimes x'$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $x' \in E'$ , modulo the relations

- 1  $(x + y) \otimes x' = x \otimes x' + y \otimes x'$ ,
- 2  $x \otimes (x' + y') = x \otimes x' + x \otimes y'$ ,
- 3  $a(x \otimes x') = (ax) \otimes x' = x \otimes (ax') = (x \otimes x')a$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Linear operators may be described in terms of tensor products as follows: to any tensor  $x \otimes x'$ , we associate the (rank 1) operator

$$y \mapsto x \langle x', y \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the canonical bilinear pairing on  $E \times E'$ .

# Tensor products of quaternionic spaces

Given a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E$ , with dual (left)  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E'$  (both  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear),  $E \otimes E'$  is defined similarly as before, with the relations

- 1  $(x + y) \otimes x' = x \otimes x' + y \otimes x'$ ,
- 2  $x \otimes (x' + y') = x \otimes x' + x \otimes y'$ ,
- 3  $(xq) \otimes x' = x \otimes (qx')$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  (balance property).

## Remarks

- 1  $E \otimes E'$  can be given a left (or right)  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear structure via the relations  $q(x \otimes x') = (xq) \otimes x'$ .
- 2 In order for  $x \otimes x'$  to properly represent a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear operator, we can only have  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear structure!
- 3 We will regard  $E \otimes E'$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space.

# Tensor products of quaternionic spaces

Given a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E$ , with dual (left)  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E'$  (both  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear),  $E \otimes E'$  is defined similarly as before, with the relations

- 1  $(x + y) \otimes x' = x \otimes x' + y \otimes x'$ ,
- 2  $x \otimes (x' + y') = x \otimes x' + x \otimes y'$ ,
- 3  $(xq) \otimes x' = x \otimes (qx')$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  (balance property).

## Remarks

- 1  $E \otimes E'$  can be given a left (or right)  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear structure via the relations  $q(x \otimes x') = (xq) \otimes x'$ .
- 2 In order for  $x \otimes x'$  to properly represent a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear operator, we can only have  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear structure!
- 3 We will regard  $E \otimes E'$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space.

# Tensor products of quaternionic spaces

Given a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E$ , with dual (left)  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E'$  (both  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear),  $E \otimes E'$  is defined similarly as before, with the relations

- 1  $(x + y) \otimes x' = x \otimes x' + y \otimes x'$ ,
- 2  $x \otimes (x' + y') = x \otimes x' + x \otimes y'$ ,
- 3  $(xq) \otimes x' = x \otimes (qx')$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  (balance property).

## Remarks

- 1  $E \otimes E'$  can be given a left (or right)  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear structure via the relations  $q(x \otimes x') = (xq) \otimes x'$ .
- 2 In order for  $x \otimes x'$  to properly represent a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear operator, we can only have  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear structure!
- 3 We will regard  $E \otimes E'$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space.

# Tensor products of quaternionic spaces

Given a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E$ , with dual (left)  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E'$  (both  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear),  $E \otimes E'$  is defined similarly as before, with the relations

- 1  $(x + y) \otimes x' = x \otimes x' + y \otimes x'$ ,
- 2  $x \otimes (x' + y') = x \otimes x' + x \otimes y'$ ,
- 3  $(xq) \otimes x' = x \otimes (qx')$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  (balance property).

## Remarks

- 1  $E \otimes E'$  can be given a left (or right)  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear structure via the relations  $q(x \otimes x') = (xq) \otimes x'$ .
- 2 In order for  $x \otimes x'$  to properly represent a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear operator, we can only have  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear structure!
- 3 We will regard  $E \otimes E'$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space.

A map  $\Phi : E \times E' \rightarrow M$  is said to be balanced if

$$\Phi(xq, x') = \Phi(x, qx').$$

# Tensor products of quaternionic spaces

Given a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E$ , with dual (left)  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space  $E'$  (both  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear),  $E \otimes E'$  is defined similarly as before, with the relations

- 1  $(x + y) \otimes x' = x \otimes x' + y \otimes x'$ ,
- 2  $x \otimes (x' + y') = x \otimes x' + x \otimes y'$ ,
- 3  $(xq) \otimes x' = x \otimes (qx')$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  (balance property).

## Remarks

- 1  $E \otimes E'$  can be given a left (or right)  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear structure via the relations  $q(x \otimes x') = (xq) \otimes x'$ .
- 2 In order for  $x \otimes x'$  to properly represent a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear operator, we can only have  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear structure!
- 3 We will regard  $E \otimes E'$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space.

For instance, the canonical map  $(x, x') \mapsto x \otimes x'$  is balanced.

# The trace functional: from real to quaternionic

If we wish to define a quaternionic trace for operators in  $E \otimes E'$ , it should respect the linear structure of such a space. Thus, we have to consider a trace that takes values on  $\mathbb{R}$ .



# The quaternionic trace as the canonical form

If we consider  $\Phi(x, x') = \operatorname{Re}(\langle x', x \rangle)$ , we obtain an additive balanced form on  $E \times E'$  (thus, it can be extended to  $E \otimes E'$ ), which is a candidate for a canonical form on  $E \otimes E'$ :

## Theorem

Any balanced  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $\Phi : E \times E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the real part of a left and right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear form  $\Psi : E' \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ .

# The quaternionic trace as the canonical form

If we consider  $\Phi(x, x') = \operatorname{Re}(\langle x', x \rangle)$ , we obtain an additive balanced form on  $E \times E'$  (thus, it can be extended to  $E \otimes E'$ ), which is a candidate for a canonical form on  $E \otimes E'$ :

## Theorem

Any balanced  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $\Phi : E \times E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the real part of a left and right  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear form  $\Psi : E' \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ .

## Theorem

Any additive balanced  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $\Phi : E \times E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the real part of a and right/left  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear form  $\Psi : E' \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ .

Given  $\Phi$ , define

$$\Psi(x, x') = \Phi(x, x') - \Phi(xi, x')i - \Phi(xj, x')j - \Phi(xij, x')ij.$$

It is clear that  $\Phi = \operatorname{Re} \Psi$ , and that  $\Psi$  is additive. Then one may directly check the linearity properties in the first and second entries.

# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

In order to derive a trace formula in locally convex spaces, we first have to introduce the relevant operators.

## Remark

In Banach spaces  $X$ , we defined  $r$ -nuclear operators

$$T_X = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \cdot x'_k(x), \quad x_k \in X, \quad x'_k \in X',$$

by the condition (which defines a semi-norm on the linear space of such operators)

$$\|T\|_r := \inf \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_X^r \|x'_k\|_{X'}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty.$$

We now lack norms!

# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

In order to derive a trace formula in locally convex spaces, we first have to introduce the relevant operators.

## Remark

In Banach spaces  $X$ , we defined  $r$ -nuclear operators

$$T_X = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \cdot x'_k(x), \quad x_k \in X, \quad x'_k \in X',$$

by the condition (which defines a semi-norm on the linear space of such operators)

$$\|T\|_r := \inf \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_X^r \|x'_k\|_{X'}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty.$$

We now lack norms!

# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

Let  $E$  be a locally convex right linear space on  $\mathbb{H}$ . A right-linear operator  $T : E \rightarrow E$  is called a *Fredholm operator* if it is of the form

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k x_k \langle x'_k, x \rangle, \quad x \in E, \quad (1)$$

where  $\{\mu_k\} \in \ell^1$  is a real sequence, and  $\{x_k\}$  (resp.  $\{x'_k\}$ ) is contained in a suitable absolutely convex set  $B \subset E$  (resp.  $B' \subset E'$ ).

If, in addition,  $\{x'_k\}$  is equicontinuous, then  $T$  is called *nuclear operator*

# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

Let  $E$  be a locally convex right linear space on  $\mathbb{H}$ . A right-linear operator  $T : E \rightarrow E$  is called a *Fredholm operator* if it is of the form

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k x_k \langle x'_k, x \rangle, \quad x \in E, \quad (1)$$

where  $\{\mu_k\} \in \ell^1$  is a real sequence, and  $\{x_k\}$  (resp.  $\{x'_k\}$ ) is contained in a suitable absolutely convex set  $B \subset E$  (resp.  $B' \subset E'$ ).

If, in addition,  $\{x'_k\}$  is equicontinuous, then  $T$  is called *nuclear operator*

# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

We denote by  $E \overline{\otimes} E'$  the subspace of  $E \otimes E'$  consisting of all elements of the form

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k x_k \otimes x'_k, \quad (2)$$

with  $\{\mu_k\}$ ,  $\{x_k\}$ , and  $\{x'_k\}$  as before. We say that  $u \in E \overline{\otimes} E'$  is a *Fredholm kernel* on  $E$ .

Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ . We say that  $u \in E \overline{\otimes} E'$  is a  *$p$ -summable Fredholm kernel* on  $E$  if  $u$  is a Fredholm kernel on  $E$ , with  $\{\mu_k\} \in \ell^p$ .



# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

We denote by  $E \overline{\otimes} E'$  the subspace of  $E \otimes E'$  consisting of all elements of the form

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k x_k \otimes x'_k, \quad (2)$$

with  $\{\mu_k\}$ ,  $\{x_k\}$ , and  $\{x'_k\}$  as before. We say that  $u \in E \overline{\otimes} E'$  is a *Fredholm kernel* on  $E$ .

Let  $0 < p \leq 1$ . We say that  $u \in E \overline{\otimes} E'$  is a  *$p$ -summable Fredholm kernel* on  $E$  if  $u$  is a Fredholm kernel on  $E$ , with  $\{\mu_k\} \in \ell^p$ .

# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space of all weakly continuous right-linear operators in  $E$  endowed with the weak operator topology, given by the semi-norms  $A \rightarrow |\langle x', Ax \rangle|$ , with  $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ ,  $x \in E$ , and  $x' \in E'$ .

Let us denote by  $\Gamma$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $E \otimes E' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ , under which the tensor

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k x_k \otimes x'_k$$

is mapped into the finite-rank operator

$$\Gamma(u) : x \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k x_k \langle x'_k, x \rangle,$$

# From Banach spaces to locally convex spaces

Let  $E$  be a locally convex right linear space on  $\mathbb{H}$  and let  $0 < p \leq 1$  and let  $u \in \overline{E \otimes E'}$  be a  $p$ -summable Fredholm kernel on  $E$ . The image  $\Gamma(u)$  of such kernel is called  $p$ -summable Fredholm operator. If the sequence  $\{x'_k\}$  defining  $u$  is equicontinuous,  $\Gamma(u)$  is called a  $p$ -nuclear operator.

# The problem of well-defined traces

## Question

How do we understand the trace of the operator  $T = \Gamma(u)$ ?

Simple answer: set  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(\Gamma(u)) := T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u)$ . Given  $u \in E \overline{\otimes}_r E'$ , one may define the (quaternionic) trace of  $u$  as

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle.$$

Problem:  $\Gamma$  need not be bijective. As in the Banach space case, this is related to approximation properties of  $E$ . This is called the **uniqueness problem**.

# The problem of well-defined traces

## Question

How do we understand the trace of the operator  $T = \Gamma(u)$ ?

Simple answer: set  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(\Gamma(u)) := T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u)$ . Given  $u \in E \overline{\otimes}_r E'$ , one may define the (quaternionic) trace of  $u$  as

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle.$$

Problem:  $\Gamma$  need not be bijective. As in the Banach space case, this is related to approximation properties of  $E$ . This is called the **uniqueness problem**.

# The problem of well-defined traces

## Question

How do we understand the trace of the operator  $T = \Gamma(u)$ ?

Simple answer: set  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(\Gamma(u)) := T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u)$ . Given  $u \in E \overline{\otimes}_r E'$ , one may define the (quaternionic) trace of  $u$  as

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle.$$

Problem:  $\Gamma$  need not be bijective. As in the Banach space case, this is related to approximation properties of  $E$ . This is called the **uniqueness problem**.

# The problem of well-defined traces

## Question

How do we understand the trace of the operator  $T = \Gamma(u)$ ?

Simple answer: set  $T_{\mathbb{H},1}(\Gamma(u)) := T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u)$ . Given  $u \in E \overline{\otimes}_r E'$ , one may define the (quaternionic) trace of  $u$  as

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \langle x'_k, x_k \rangle.$$

Problem:  $\Gamma$  need not be bijective. As in the Banach space case, this is related to approximation properties of  $E$ . This is called the **uniqueness problem**.

# The uniqueness problem and 2/3-nuclear operators

For 2/3-nuclear operators we show that the uniqueness problem has a positive solution, i.e.,

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(\Gamma(u)) = T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u)$$

is well defined (no approximation property on  $E$  is required due to the “good enough” behavior of 2/3-nuclear operators). In fact, we show that

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(\Gamma(u)) \right),$$

where  $\{\lambda_k(\Gamma(u))\}$  are the standard eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(u)$ .



# The uniqueness problem and 2/3-nuclear operators

For 2/3-nuclear operators we show that the uniqueness problem has a positive solution, i.e.,

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(\Gamma(u)) = T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u)$$

is well defined (no approximation property on  $E$  is required due to the “good enough” behavior of 2/3-nuclear operators). In fact, we show that

$$T_{\mathbb{H},1}(u) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(\Gamma(u)) \right),$$

where  $\{\lambda_k(\Gamma(u))\}$  are the standard eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(u)$ .

## Further comments

We introduce (formally) the Fredholm determinant of  $u$ :

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k T_{\mathbb{H},k}(u) z^k,$$

and show that it has the expected properties.

### Remark

- The trace formula follows from the fact that  $\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zu)$  is of order one and genus zero.
- We also show that for 2/3-nuclear operators  $T = \Gamma(u)$ , we have  $\{\lambda_k(T)\} \in \ell^1$ .

## Further comments

We introduce (formally) the Fredholm determinant of  $u$ :

$$\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k T_{\mathbb{H},k}(u) z^k,$$

and show that it has the expected properties.

### Remark

- The trace formula follows from the fact that  $\det_{\mathbb{H}}(I - zu)$  is of order one and genus zero.
- We also show that for 2/3-nuclear operators  $T = \Gamma(u)$ , we have  $\{\lambda_k(T)\} \in \ell^1$ .

- P. Cerejeiras, F. Colombo, A. Debernardi Pinos, U. Kähler, I.S., *Nuclearity and Grothendieck-Lidskii formula for quaternionic operators*, Adv. Math. 2024, 51 pp.
- F. Colombo, I.S., D. Struppa, *Noncommutative functional calculus*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2011.
- F. Colombo, J. Gantner, D. P. Kimsey, *Spectral theory on the S-spectrum for quaternionic operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.

Thank you for your attention!