# Bi-free probability and reflection positivity

Roland Speicher

Saarland University Saarbrücken, Germany

arXiv: 2312.06813

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach

Report No. 55/2017

DOI: 10.4171/OWR/2017/55

#### Reflection Positivity

Organised by Arthur Jaffe, Harvard Karl-Hermann Neeb, Erlangen Gestur Olafsson, Baton Rouge Benjamin Schlein, Zürich

26 November - 2 December 2017

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach

Report No. 55/2017

DOI: 10.4171/OWR/2017/55

#### Reflection Positivity

Organised by Arthur Jaffe, Harvard Karl-Hermann Neeb, Erlangen Gestur Olafsson, Baton Rouge Benjamin Schlein, Zürich

26 November - 2 December 2017

Note on
Bi-free probability and reflection
positivity

#### Obituary: K.R. Parthasarathy 1936-2023

JULY 16, 2023

Kalyanapuram Rangacharl Parthasarathy, known to generations of mathematicians and probabilists simply as KRP, passed away on June 14 in New Delhir), he was 86. Professor Purthasarathy made numerous extremely deep contributions over a stunningly wide spectrum of mathematics: probability, quantum probability, graph theory, linear algebra, statistics and other mathematical domains. With his passing, India has lost an icon of 20th century mathematics.



#### Announcement:

MATHEMATISCHES FORSCHUNGSINSTITUT OBERWOLFACH

Report No. 55/2017

DOI: 10.4171/OWR/2017/55

#### Reflection Positivity

Organised by Arthur Jaffe, Harvard Karl-Hermann Neeb, Erlangen Gestur Olafsson, Baton Rouge Benjamin Schlein, Zürich

26 November – 2 December 2017

Note on Bi-free probability and reflection positivity

#### Obituary: K.R. Parthasarathy 1936-2023

Kalyanapuram Rangachari Parthasarathy, known to generations of mathematicians and probabilists simply as KRP, passed away on June 14 in New Delhi; he was 86. Professor Parthasarathy made numerous extremely deep contributions over a stunningly wide spectrum of mathematics: probability, quantum probability, graph theory, linear algebra, statistics and other mathematical domains. With his passing, India has lost an icon of 20th century mathematics.



#### **Announcement:**

arXiv:2312.06813

#### BI-FREE PROBABILITY THEORY AND REFLECTION POSITIVITY

ROLAND SPEICHER

ABSTRACT. We point out that bi-free product constructions respect reflection positivity.

#### Obituary: K.R. Parthasarathy 1936–2023

JLY 16, 2023

Kalyanapuram Rangachari Parthasarathy, kown to generations of mathematicians and probabilists simply as KRP, passed away on June 14 in Nev Delhi; he was 66. Professor Parthasarathy made numerous extremely deep contributions over a stumingly wide spectrum of mathematics; probability, quantum probability, graph theory, linear algebra, statistics and other mathematical domains. With his passing, India has lost an icon of 20th century mathematics.



#### Announcement:

arXiv:2312.06813

#### BI-FREE PROBABILITY THEORY AND REFLECTION POSITIVITY

ROLAND SPEICHER

Abstract. We point out that bi-free product constructions respect reflection positivity.

#### Probabilistic Operator Algebra Seminar

Organizer: Dan-Virgil Voiculescu

January 30 Roland Speicher, Saarland University Saarbruecken

Title: Bi-free probability and reflection positivity

I will recall the notions of reflection positivity (from algebraic quantum field theory) and point out that bi-free product constructions respect reflection positivity.

#### Obituary: K.R. Parthasarathy 1936–2023

JLY 16, 2023

Kalyanapuram Rangacharl Parthasarathy, Ixovan to generations of mathematicians and probabilists simply as RRP, passed away on June 14 in New Delhi; he was 86. Professor Parthasarathy made numerous extremely deep contributions over a stunningly wide spectrum of mathematics: probability, quantum probability, graph theory, linear algebra, statistics and other mathematical domains. With his passing, India has lost an icon of 20th century mathematics.



#### Announcement:

## Reflection positivity is ...

 $\dots$  some additional positivity, corresponding to a symmetry  $\theta$ , in addition to the "usual" positivity.

### Hilbert space setting

- Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$
- unitary involution  $\theta: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$  and  $\langle \theta(f), \theta(g) \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$
- distinguished subspace  $\mathcal{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{H}$ ,

 $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}_+,\theta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\langle \theta(f), f \rangle \ge 0$$
 for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$ 

### Hilbert space setting

- Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$
- unitary involution  $\theta: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$  and  $\langle \theta(f), \theta(g) \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$
- distinguished subspace  $\mathcal{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{H}$ , often  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_- = \theta(\mathcal{H}_+)$

 $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}_+,\theta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\langle \theta(f), f \rangle \ge 0$$
 for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$ 

### Hilbert space setting

 $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}_+, heta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\langle \theta(f), f \rangle \ge 0$$
 for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$ 

## Operator algebra setting

- ullet operator algebra A with state  $au:A o \mathbb{C}$
- involution  $\theta: A \to A$ , anti-linear homomorphism,  $\tau \circ \theta = \tau$
- distinguished subalgebra  $A_+ \subset A$

 $(A,A_+,\theta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\tau(\theta(x)x) \ge 0$$
 for all  $x \in A_+$ 



#### Hilbert space setting

 $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_+, \theta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\langle \theta(f), f \rangle \ge 0$$
 for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$ 

## Operator algebra setting

- operator algebra A with state  $\tau:A\to\mathbb{C}$
- involution  $\theta: A \to A$ , anti-linear homomorphism,  $\tau \circ \theta = \tau$
- distinguished subalgebra  $A_+ \subset A$

 $(A,A_+, heta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\tau(\theta(x)x) \ge 0$$
 for all  $x \in A_+$ 

Often one also requires that  $A_+$  and  $A_- := \theta(A_+)$  commute (or satisfy some other relations) and both together generate A

## Hilbert space setting

 $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_+, \theta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\langle \theta(f), f \rangle \ge 0$$
 for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$ 

### Operator algebra setting

 $(A, A_+, \theta)$  is called *reflection positive* if

$$\tau(\theta(x)x) \ge 0$$
 for all  $x \in A_+$ 

#### Reflection positivity is ...

 $\dots$  some additional positivity, corresponding to a symmetry  $\theta$ , in addition to the "usual" positivity.

• reflection  $t \to -t$ 

$$\theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

• reflection  $t \to -t$ 

$$\theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

 $\bullet$  left-right exchange between algebra and commutant for von Neumann algebras M

$$A_{+} := M, \qquad A_{-} := M'$$
  
 $\theta : M \to M', \qquad a \mapsto \theta(a) = JaJ,$ 

• reflection  $t \to -t$ 

$$\theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

 $\bullet$  left-right exchange between algebra and commutant for von Neumann algebras M

$$A_{+} := M,$$
  $A_{-} := M'$   
 $\theta : M \to M',$   $a \mapsto \theta(a) = JaJ,$ 

$$\tau(\theta(a)a) = \langle \Omega, JaJa\Omega \rangle = \langle Ja^*\Omega, a\Omega \rangle = \langle \Delta^{1/2}a\Omega, a\Omega \rangle \ge 0$$

• reflection  $t \to -t$ 

$$\theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

 $\bullet$  left-right exchange between algebra and commutant for von Neumann algebras M

$$A_{+} := M, \qquad A_{-} := M'$$
  
 $\theta : M \to M', \qquad a \mapsto \theta(a) = JaJ,$ 

$$\tau(\theta(a)a) = \langle \Omega, JaJa\Omega \rangle = \langle Ja^*\Omega, a\Omega \rangle = \langle \Delta^{1/2}a\Omega, a\Omega \rangle \ge 0$$

• left-right exchange between two faces in bi-free setting

$$(x_i, y_i)_{i \in I}$$
 pairs of faces and  $\theta(x_i) = y_i$ 



# Osterwalder-Schrader axioms for euclidian QFT

Commun. math. Phys. 31, 83-112 (1973) © by Springer-Verlag 1973

#### Axioms for Euclidean Green's Functions

Konrad Osterwalder\* and Robert Schrader\*\*

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. USA

Received December 18, 1972

Abstract. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for Euclidean Green's functions to define a unique Wightman field theory.

#### Contents

1	Introduction								83
2.	Test Functions and Distributions								85
3.	The Axioms, Main Theorems								87
4.	Theorem $E \rightarrow R$								90
	4.1. Construction of the Wightman Distributions								90
	4.2. Lorentz Covariance and Spectrum Condition								94
	4.3. Positivity								94
	4.4 Cl								0.0

Wightmann functions

## Euclidean QFT

Schwinger functions

- Wightmann functions
- $\bullet$   $\psi(x,t)$

- Schwinger functions
- $\tilde{\psi}(x,\tau) = \psi(x,t=i\tau)$

- Wightmann functions
- $\bullet \ \psi(x,t)$
- $\psi(x,t) = e^{itH}\psi(x,0)e^{-itH}$

- Schwinger functions
- $\bullet$   $\psi(x,\tau) = \psi(x,t=i\tau)$
- $\tilde{\psi}(x,\tau) = e^{-\tau H} \psi(x,0) e^{\tau H}$

- Wightmann functions
- $\bullet \ \psi(x,t)$
- $\psi(x,t) = e^{itH}\psi(x,0)e^{-itH}$
- $\psi(x,t)^* = \psi(x,t)$

- Schwinger functions
- $\bullet \ \tilde{\psi}(x,\tau) = \psi(x,t=i\tau)$
- $\tilde{\psi}(x,\tau) = e^{-\tau H} \psi(x,0) e^{\tau H}$
- $\widetilde{\psi}(x,\tau)^* = e^{\tau H} \psi(x,0) e^{-\tau H} = \widetilde{\psi}(x,-\tau)$

- Wightmann functions
- $\bullet \ \psi(x,t)$
- $\psi(x,t) = e^{itH}\psi(x,0)e^{-itH}$
- $\psi(x,t)^* = \psi(x,t)$

- Schwinger functions
- $\bullet \ \tilde{\psi}(x,\tau) = \psi(x,t=i\tau)$
- $\tilde{\psi}(x,\tau) = e^{-\tau H} \psi(x,0) e^{\tau H}$
- $\widetilde{\psi}(x,\tau)^* = e^{\tau H} \psi(x,0) e^{-\tau H} = \widetilde{\psi}(x,-\tau)$
- And thus, with  $\theta(\widetilde{\psi})(x,\tau) = \widetilde{\psi}(x,-\tau)$ ,

$$\tau[\theta(\tilde{\psi})\tilde{\psi}] = \tau[\tilde{\psi}^*\tilde{\psi}] \ge 0$$

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, k), \qquad \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \bar{f}(s)g(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, k), \qquad \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \bar{f}(s)g(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

positivity of inner product:  $k(\cdot,\cdot)$  is positive definite kernel

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, k), \qquad \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \bar{f}(s)g(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\theta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}; \qquad \theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{+} := \{ f \in L^{2} | f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_{-} \} \equiv L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}, k),$$

$$\mathcal{H} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, k), \qquad \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \bar{f}(s)g(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$
$$\theta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}; \qquad \theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{+} := L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}, k), \qquad \theta(\mathcal{H}_{+}) = \mathcal{H}_{-} \equiv L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{-}, k)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, k), \qquad \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \bar{f}(s)g(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\theta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}; \qquad \theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{+} := L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}, k), \qquad \theta(\mathcal{H}_{+}) = \mathcal{H}_{-} \equiv L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{-}, k)$$

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{+} : \qquad \langle \theta(f), f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\theta(f)}(s)f(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{-}} \bar{f}(-s)f(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(s)f(t)k(-s, t)dsdt$$

$$\mathcal{H} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, k), \qquad \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \bar{f}(s)g(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\theta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}; \qquad \theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{+} := L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}, k), \qquad \theta(\mathcal{H}_{+}) = \mathcal{H}_{-} \equiv L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{-}, k)$$

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{+} : \quad \langle \theta(f), f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \bar{f}(s)f(t)k(-s, t)dsdt$$

$$\mathcal{H} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, k), \qquad \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \bar{f}(s)g(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\theta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}; \qquad \theta(f)(t) = f(-t)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{+} := L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}, k), \qquad \theta(\mathcal{H}_{+}) = \mathcal{H}_{-} \equiv L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{-}, k)$$

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{+} : \quad \langle \theta(f), f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \bar{f}(s)f(t)k(-s, t)dsdt$$

## Reflection positive kernels (Jorgensen, Neeb, Olafson)

We have on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  two positive definite kernels, given via k, namely

• 
$$(s,t) \mapsto k(s,t)$$
 or  $(s,t) \mapsto k(t-s)$ 

$$(s,t)\mapsto k(t-$$

• 
$$(s,t) \mapsto k(-s,t)$$
 or  $(s,t) \mapsto k(t+s)$ 

$$(s,t)\mapsto k(t+s)$$

What can we get out of reflection positivity?

What can we get out of reflection positivity?

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\theta} := \langle \theta(f), g \rangle \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{H}_+$$

gives a new inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ , and thus we have Cauchy-Schwartz also for this

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\theta}^2 \le \langle f, f \rangle_{\theta} \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\theta},$$

What can we get out of reflection positivity?

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\theta} := \langle \theta(f), g \rangle \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{H}_+$$

gives a new inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ , and thus we have Cauchy-Schwartz also for this

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\theta}^2 \le \langle f, f \rangle_{\theta} \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\theta},$$

or in terms of the old inner product

$$\langle \theta(f), g \rangle \leq \sqrt{\langle \theta(f), f \rangle \cdot \langle \theta(g), g \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{2} \big\{ \langle \theta(f), f \rangle + \langle \theta(g), g \rangle \big\}$$

What can we get out of reflection positivity?

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\theta} := \langle \theta(f), g \rangle \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{H}_+$$

gives a new inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_+$ , and thus we have Cauchy-Schwartz also for this

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\theta}^2 \le \langle f, f \rangle_{\theta} \cdot \langle g, g \rangle_{\theta},$$

or in terms of the old inner product

$$\langle \theta(f), g \rangle \le \sqrt{\langle \theta(f), f \rangle \cdot \langle \theta(g), g \rangle} \le \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle \theta(f), f \rangle + \langle \theta(g), g \rangle \}$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \langle \theta(f) + g, \theta(f) + g \rangle &= \langle \theta(f), \theta(f) \rangle + \langle g, g \rangle + 2 \langle \theta(f), g \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \theta(f), \theta(f) \rangle + \langle g, g \rangle + \langle \theta(f), f \rangle + \langle \theta(g), g \rangle \rbrace \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \big\{ \langle f + \theta(f), f + \theta(f) \rangle + \langle g + \theta(g), g + \theta(g) \rangle \big\} \end{split}$$

$$\langle \theta(f) + g, \theta(f) + g \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle f + \theta(f), f + \theta(f) \rangle + \langle g + \theta(g), g + \theta(g) \rangle \}$$

$$\langle \theta(f) + g, \theta(f) + g \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle f + \theta(f), f + \theta(f) \rangle + \langle g + \theta(g), g + \theta(g) \rangle \}$$

Say, we want to maximize

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \langle h, h \rangle = \int \int \bar{h}(s)h(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\langle \theta(f) + g, \theta(f) + g \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \{ \langle f + \theta(f), f + \theta(f) \rangle + \langle g + \theta(g), g + \theta(g) \rangle \}$$

Say, we want to maximize

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \langle h, h \rangle = \int \int \bar{h}(s)h(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

Write

$$h = h_- + h_+,$$
 with  $h_+ \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $h_- \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ 

then

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \mathcal{E}(h_- + h_+) \le \frac{\mathcal{E}(\theta(h_-) + h_-) + \mathcal{E}(h_+ + \theta(h_+))}{2}$$



$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \langle h, h \rangle = \int \int \bar{h}(s)h(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \mathcal{E}(h_{-} + h_{+}) \le \frac{\mathcal{E}(\theta(h_{-}) + h_{-}) + \mathcal{E}(h_{+} + \theta(h_{+}))}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \langle h, h \rangle = \int \int \bar{h}(s)h(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \mathcal{E}(h_{-} + h_{+}) \le \frac{\mathcal{E}(\theta(h_{-}) + h_{-}) + \mathcal{E}(h_{+} + \theta(h_{+}))}{2}$$

#### Symmetric problem has symmetric solution

Thus, at least one of  $h_- + \theta(h_-)$  and  $h_+ + \theta(h_+)$  is a better maximizer for  $\mathcal E$  than  $h = h_- + h_+$ . This means that the solution h to the maximization problem must be symmetric, i.e.,  $\theta(h) = h$ .

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \langle h, h \rangle = \int \int \bar{h}(s)h(t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\mathcal{E}(h) = \mathcal{E}(h_{-} + h_{+}) \le \frac{\mathcal{E}(\theta(h_{-}) + h_{-}) + \mathcal{E}(h_{+} + \theta(h_{+}))}{2}$$

#### Symmetric problem has symmetric solution

Thus, at least one of  $h_- + \theta(h_-)$  and  $h_+ + \theta(h_+)$  is a better maximizer for  $\mathcal E$  than  $h = h_- + h_+$ . This means that the solution h to the maximization problem must be symmetric, i.e.,  $\theta(h) = h$ .

## Existence of phase transitions in statistical physics (Fröhlich, Israel, Lieb, Simon)

- symmetry of solution for small temperatures by reflection positivity
- absence of such symmetry by high temperature expansion

Consider two commuting random variables x and y

$$A = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(x, y)\}$$

$$\theta(f)(x,y) = \bar{f}(y,x)$$
 
$$A_+ = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes 1 = \{f(x)\}, \qquad A_- = 1 \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(y)\}$$

Consider two commuting random variables x and y

$$A = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(x, y)\}$$
$$\tau[f(x, y)] = \int \int f(s, t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\theta(f)(x,y) = \bar{f}(y,x)$$
 
$$A_+ = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes 1 = \{f(x)\}, \qquad A_- = 1 \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(y)\}$$

Consider two commuting random variables x and y

### Positivity: $k(\cdot,\cdot)$ positive density

$$A = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(x, y)\}$$
$$\tau[f(x, y)] = \int \int f(s, t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\theta(f)(x,y) = \bar{f}(y,x)$$
 
$$A_+ = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes 1 = \{f(x)\}, \qquad A_- = 1 \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(y)\}$$

Consider two commuting random variables x and y

### Positivity: $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ positive density

$$A = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(x, y)\}$$
$$\tau[f(x, y)] = \int \int f(s, t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

$$\theta(f)(x,y) = \bar{f}(y,x)$$

$$A_{+} = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes 1 = \{f(x)\}, \qquad A_{-} = 1 \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(y)\}$$

$$\tau[\theta(f)f] = \tau[\bar{f}(y)f(x)] = \int \int \bar{f}(s)f(t)k(s,t)dsdt \ge 0, \quad f = f(x) \in A_{+}$$

Consider two commuting random variables x and y

### Positivity: $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ positive density

$$A = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f(x, y)\}$$
$$\tau[f(x, y)] = \int \int f(s, t)k(s, t)dsdt$$

### Reflection positivity: $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive kernel

$$\theta(f)(x,y)=\bar{f}(y,x)$$
 
$$A_+=L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\otimes 1=\{f(x)\},\qquad A_-=1\otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{R})=\{f(y)\}$$

$$\tau[\theta(f)f] = \tau[\bar{f}(y)f(x)] = \int \int \bar{f}(s)f(t)k(s,t)dsdt \ge 0, \quad f = f(x) \in A_{+}$$

Consider two commuting random variables x and y.

Reflection positivity means then:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x)\bar{f}(y)] \ge 0 \qquad \text{for all } f$$

Consider two commuting random variables x and y.

Reflection positivity means then:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x)\bar{f}(y)] \ge 0 \qquad \text{for all } f$$

This is satisfied if x and y are i.i.d. But when else?

Consider two commuting random variables x and y.

Reflection positivity means then:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x)\bar{f}(y)] \ge 0 \qquad \text{for all } f$$

This is satisfied if x and y are i.i.d. But when else?

Does this have any probabilistic meaning?

Consider pairs of faces:  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i \in I$ 

$$A = alg(x_i, y_i; i \in I), \qquad A_i := alg(x_i, y_i)$$

[ $x_i$  and  $y_i$  don't need to commute; if they do, we call it bipartite]

Consider pairs of faces:  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i \in I$ 

$$A = alg(x_i, y_i; i \in I), \qquad A_i := alg(x_i, y_i)$$

[ $x_i$  and  $y_i$  don't need to commute; if they do, we call it bipartite]

Bi-freeness = rule to calculate  $\tau$  on A out of all  $\tau|_{A_i}$ 

Consider pairs of faces:  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i \in I$ 

$$A = alg(x_i, y_i; i \in I), \qquad A_i := alg(x_i, y_i)$$

[ $x_i$  and  $y_i$  don't need to commute; if they do, we call it bipartite]

## Bi-freeness = rule to calculate $\tau$ on A out of all $\tau|_{A_i}$

• it is defined via realizing all  $x_i$  as left operators and all  $y_i$  as right operators acting on a free product Hilbert space

Consider pairs of faces:  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i \in I$ 

$$A = alg(x_i, y_i; i \in I), \qquad A_i := alg(x_i, y_i)$$

[ $x_i$  and  $y_i$  don't need to commute; if they do, we call it bipartite]

## Bi-freeness = rule to calculate $\tau$ on A out of all $\tau|_{A_i}$

- it is defined via realizing all  $x_i$  as left operators and all  $y_i$  as right operators acting on a free product Hilbert space
- $\bullet$  in order to calculate  $\tau$  for any product of the left and right variables do the following
  - move all left variables to the left, all right variables to the right
  - invert the order of the right variables
  - decompose now into the individual moments for each i according to the usual freeness rule
  - bring the left and right variables in each moment back into their original order

#### In particular one has for bi-free situation

- all left variables are free
- all right variables are free
- the left and the right variables corresponding to different indices are independent

#### In particular one has for bi-free situation

- all left variables are free
- all right variables are free
- the left and the right variables corresponding to different indices are independent

### $(x_1,y_1)$ and $(x_2,y_2)$ bi-free means

- $x_1, x_2$  are free
- $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  are free
- $x_1$  and  $y_2$  are independent
- $x_2$  and  $y_1$  are independent
- ullet the relation between  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  can be arbitrarily prescribed
- the relation between  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  can be arbitrarily prescribed

#### Positivity of the state

ullet if all  $au|_{A_i}$  are positive, then au on the bi-free product A is positive

#### Positivity of the state

- ullet if all  $au|_{A_i}$  are positive, then au on the bi-free product A is positive
- this follows directly by definition, since everything is realized on Hilbert spaces

#### Positivity of the state

- if all  $\tau|_{A_i}$  are positive, then  $\tau$  on the bi-free product A is positive
- this follows directly by definition, since everything is realized on Hilbert spaces
- but: do we have a direct combinatorial proof for this???

#### Positivity of the state

- ullet if all  $au|_{A_i}$  are positive, then au on the bi-free product A is positive
- this follows directly by definition, since everything is realized on Hilbert spaces
- but: do we have a direct combinatorial proof for this????

Reflection positivity for the left-right exchange  $\theta(x_i) = y_i$ 

#### Positivity of the state

- ullet if all  $au|_{A_i}$  are positive, then au on the bi-free product A is positive
- this follows directly by definition, since everything is realized on Hilbert spaces
- but: do we have a direct combinatorial proof for this????

### Reflection positivity for the left-right exchange $\theta(x_i) = y_i$

• Question: If all  $\theta|_{(x_i,y_i)}$  are reflection positive, is this then also true for  $\theta$  on the bi-free product?

#### Positivity of the state

- ullet if all  $au|_{A_i}$  are positive, then au on the bi-free product A is positive
- this follows directly by definition, since everything is realized on Hilbert spaces
- but: do we have a direct combinatorial proof for this????

#### Reflection positivity for the left-right exchange $\theta(x_i) = y_i$

- Question: If all  $\theta|_{(x_i,y_i)}$  are reflection positive, is this then also true for  $\theta$  on the bi-free product?
- Observation: This is true!

#### Positivity of the state

- ullet if all  $au|_{A_i}$  are positive, then au on the bi-free product A is positive
- this follows directly by definition, since everything is realized on Hilbert spaces
- but: do we have a direct combinatorial proof for this???

#### Reflection positivity for the left-right exchange $\theta(x_i) = y_i$

- Question: If all  $\theta|_{(x_i,y_i)}$  are reflection positive, is this then also true for  $\theta$  on the bi-free product?
- Observation: This is true!
- Proof: This is just the fact that the Hadamard product of matrices preserves positive definiteness



$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1y_2x_2x_2y_2x_1]$$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1y_2x_2x_2y_2x_1]$$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1y_2x_2x_2y_2x_1]$$

$$\begin{split} \tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] &= \tau[x_1]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2] + \tau[x_1x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[y_2y_2] \\ &- \tau[x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2y_2] \\ &= \tau[x_1]^2\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2] + (\tau[x_1x_1] - \tau[x_1]^2)\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[y_2y_2] \end{split}$$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1y_2x_2x_2y_2x_1]$$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2] + \tau[x_1x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[y_2y_2] - \tau[x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2y_2] = \tau[x_1]^2\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2] + (\tau[x_1x_1] - \tau[x_1]^2)\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[y_2y_2]$$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1y_2x_2x_2y_2x_1]$$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2] + \tau[x_1x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[y_2y_2] - \tau[x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2y_2] = \tau[x_1]^2\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2] + (\underbrace{\tau[x_1x_1] - \tau[x_1]^2}_{\geq 0})\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[y_2y_2]$$

#### Preservation of positivity

• if  $\tau$  is positive on  $(x_1, y_1)$ 

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1y_2x_2x_2y_2x_1]$$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2] + \tau[x_1x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[y_2y_2] - \tau[x_1]\tau[x_2x_2]\tau[x_1]\tau[y_2y_2] = \tau[x_1]^2\underbrace{\tau[y_2x_2x_2y_2]}_{\geq 0} + (\underbrace{\tau[x_1x_1] - \tau[x_1]^2}_{\geq 0})\underbrace{\tau[x_2x_2]}_{\geq 0}\underbrace{\tau[y_2y_2]}_{\geq 0}$$

#### Preservation of positivity

- if  $\tau$  is positive on  $(x_1, y_1)$
- and if  $\tau$  is positive on  $(x_2, y_2)$

$$\tau[(x_2y_2x_1)^*(x_2y_2x_1)] = \tau[x_1y_2x_2x_2y_2x_1]$$

$$\tau[(x_{2}y_{2}x_{1})^{*}(x_{2}y_{2}x_{1})] = \tau[x_{1}]\tau[x_{1}]\tau[y_{2}x_{2}x_{2}y_{2}] + \tau[x_{1}x_{1}]\tau[x_{2}x_{2}]\tau[y_{2}y_{2}]$$

$$-\tau[x_{1}]\tau[x_{2}x_{2}]\tau[x_{1}]\tau[y_{2}y_{2}]$$

$$=\tau[x_{1}]^{2}\underbrace{\tau[y_{2}x_{2}x_{2}y_{2}]}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{(\tau[x_{1}x_{1}] - \tau[x_{1}]^{2})}_{\geq 0}\underbrace{\tau[x_{2}x_{2}]}_{\geq 0}\underbrace{\tau[y_{2}y_{2}]}_{\geq 0}$$

$$> 0$$

#### Preservation of positivity

- if  $\tau$  is positive on  $(x_1, y_1)$
- and if  $\tau$  is positive on  $(x_2, y_2)$
- then the bi-free product is also positive



$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1y_2x_1x_2]$$

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1y_2x_1x_2]$$

$$\rightarrow \varphi(x_1x_2y_2y_1) = \varphi(x_1y_1)\varphi(x_2y_2) \rightarrow \tau[y_1x_1]\tau[y_2x_2]$$

$$\tau[\theta(x_1 x_2) x_1 x_2] = \tau[y_1 y_2 x_1 x_2]$$

$$\rightarrow \varphi(x_1x_2y_2y_1) = \varphi(x_1y_1)\varphi(x_2y_2) \rightarrow \tau[y_1x_1]\tau[y_2x_2]$$

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1y_2x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1x_1]\tau[y_2x_2] = \tau[\theta(x_1)x_1] \cdot \tau[\theta(x_2)x_2]$$

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1y_2x_1x_2]$$

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[\theta(x_1)x_1] \cdot \tau[\theta(x_2)x_2]$$

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1y_2x_1x_2]$$
$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \underbrace{\tau[\theta(x_1)x_1]}_{\geq 0} \cdot \tau[\theta(x_2)x_2]$$
$$\geq 0$$

### Preservation of reflection positivity

• if  $(x_1, y_1)$  is reflection positive

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1y_2x_1x_2]$$

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \underbrace{\tau[\theta(x_1)x_1]}_{\geq 0} \cdot \underbrace{\tau[\theta(x_2)x_2]}_{\geq 0}$$

#### Preservation of reflection positivity

- if  $(x_1, y_1)$  is reflection positive
- and if  $(x_2, y_2)$  is reflection positive

$$\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2] = \tau[y_1y_2x_1x_2]$$

$$\underline{\tau[\theta(x_1x_2)x_1x_2]} = \underline{\tau[\theta(x_1)x_1]} \cdot \underline{\tau[\theta(x_2)x_2]}$$

$$\geq 0 \iff \geq 0 \qquad \geq 0$$

#### Preservation of reflection positivity

- if  $(x_1, y_1)$  is reflection positive
- and if  $(x_2, y_2)$  is reflection positive
- then their bi-free product is also reflection positive

• reflection positivity is a nice and interesting property

- reflection positivity is a nice and interesting property
- bi-freeness seems to preserve this property

- reflection positivity is a nice and interesting property
- bi-freeness seems to preserve this property
- but it this good for anything???

- reflection positivity is a nice and interesting property
- bi-freeness seems to preserve this property
- but it this good for anything???

## Thank you for your attention!